

1  
2  
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
4 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

5 SOLOMON ALFRED YARTEH,

6 Plaintiff,

7 v.

8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

9 Defendant.

Case No.: 2:24-cv-02041-RFB-NJK

**Order**

[Docket No. 7]

10 Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion to compel. Docket No. 7. However,  
11 Plaintiff's motion is premature as Defendant has not been served. *See* Docket.

12 Further, while the Court construes the filings of *pro se* litigants liberally, *Blaisdell v.*  
13 *Frappiea*, 729 F.3d 1237, 1241 (9th Cir. 2013), the Court is unable to discern what relief it is that  
14 Plaintiff seeks through this filing. Additionally, in violation of the Local Rules, *see* Local Rule IC  
15 2-2(b), Plaintiff appears to seek multiple types of relief, including *habeas corpus* relief. Docket  
16 No. 7 at 11. An application for a writ of *habeas corpus* must be filed in the district where the  
17 petitioner is confined. 28 U.S.C.A. § 2241(a); *see also Rumsfeld v. Padilla*, 542 U.S. 426, 443  
18 (2004). Here, Plaintiff is in custody at Strafford County Corrections in Dover, New Hampshire.  
19 *See* Docket. The United States District Court for the District of Nevada is not the proper court for  
20 Plaintiff to seek this form of relief.

21 Accordingly, the motion to compel is **DENIED**. Docket No. 7.

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 Dated: January 14, 2025

24  
25   
26 Nancy J. Koppe  
27 United States Magistrate Judge  
28